



# हरियाणा केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF HARYANA

NAAC ACCREDITED 'A' GRADE UNIVERSITY

## MINUTES

### 42<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Executive Council

Date: 09/03/2019 at 11:00 A.M.

Venue: Gurugram Office, Central University of Haryana, Gurugram.

The 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Executive Council of Central University of Haryana was held on Saturday, March 9, 2019 at 11:00 AM in the Conference Room, Gurugram Office, Central University of Haryana, Gurugram.

The following members were present:

- 1 Prof. R.C. Kuhad, Vice Chancellor - Chairman
- 2 Prof. D.P.S. Verma
- 3 Prof. Yogesh Singh
- 4 Prof. V.K. Jain
- 5 Dr. P.K. Khurana
- 6 Prof. Bir Singh
- 7 Prof. Sarika Sharma
- 8 Prof. Nawal Kishore
- 9 Prof. Rajesh Kumar Malik
- 10 Sh. Manoranjan Tripathy, FO - Special Invitee
- 11 Sh. P.C. Garg, Consultant(Infra) - Special Invitee
- 12 Sh. Sandeep Singh, EE - Special Invitee
- 13 Sh. Ram Dutt, Registrar - Secretary

The following members had expressed their inability to attend the meeting:

- 1 Prof. M. Anandkrishnan
- 2 Prof. Sushma Yadav
- 3 Dr. Payal Mago
- 4 Dr. Avdhesh Kumar Pandey
- 5 Dr. V.K. Gupta

After welcoming the members of the Council, the Vice-Chancellor apprised the Council about the Academic and other achievements made by the University after the 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Council. The Council was apprised that the University organized one GIAN Course and celebrated the Foundation Day of the University followed by Cultural Fest Spandan-2019 on 25<sup>th</sup> & 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2019, the 5<sup>th</sup> Convocation of the University on 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 and Science Day on 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2019.

R.No.	Resolution Passed
1.	The Minutes of the 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Executive Council held on February 19, 2019, were confirmed
2.	The actions taken on the resolutions of the 41 <sup>st</sup> meeting of the Executive Council held on February 19, 2019, were reported, recorded and confirmed

3.	<p>The Council considered the report dated 29.11.2018 of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to ascertain the facts and to suggest further course of action related to the allegations made by Dr. Manoj Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of English and Foreign Languages, Central University of Haryana with regard to eligibility of Sh. Ram Dutt for his appointment as Registrar, Central University of Haryana and the Council, after going through the report, resolved that the same be accepted. The Council resolved that further action on the report be deferred.</p> <p>One member, namely Prof. Bir Singh Yadav dissented.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Annexure-I, Page-6 to 9)</b></p> <p><b>Note:</b> While this Item was being discussed, the Registrar recused himself from the meeting</p>
----	--

**ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION**

4.	<p>Resolved that the following amendments to Statute-11 of the Statutes of the University relating to the constitution of the Executive Council and the term of office of its members, be approved:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Existing Clause</th> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Proposed Clause</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>vi. Two members of the Court when constituted, elected from amongst the elected members, none of whom shall be an employee or student of the University or an Institution recognized by or associated with the University;</p> </td> <td style="vertical-align: top;"> <p>vi. Two members of the Court nominated by the Court.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Existing Clause	Proposed Clause	<p>vi. Two members of the Court when constituted, elected from amongst the elected members, none of whom shall be an employee or student of the University or an Institution recognized by or associated with the University;</p>	<p>vi. Two members of the Court nominated by the Court.</p>
Existing Clause	Proposed Clause				
<p>vi. Two members of the Court when constituted, elected from amongst the elected members, none of whom shall be an employee or student of the University or an Institution recognized by or associated with the University;</p>	<p>vi. Two members of the Court nominated by the Court.</p>				

5.	<p>The Council reconsidered the case of Dr. Manoj Kumar, an Assistant Professor in the Department of English &amp; Foreign Languages, in pursuance of the Order dated 27.02.2019 of the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana, in CWP-5313 of 2019 titled Dr. Manoj Kumar Vs. Central University of Haryana and others, with reference to the Resolution No-3 of the 41<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Executive Council held on 19.02.2019 and resolved that the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to take appropriate action as per Law immediately on receipt of the Order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana on 27.02.2019.</p>
----	---

**Recommendations made by the Finance Committee in its 27<sup>th</sup> meeting scheduled to be held on 08.03.2019**

6.	<p>Resolved that the following recommendations made by the Finance Committee in its 27<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 08.03.2019 on the recommendation of the Building Committee held on 08.03.2019, be approved:</p> <p>(a) Estimates and drawings submitted by the CPWD for the construction of community centre amounting Rs. 1.999 Cr.</p> <p>(b) Estimates and drawing submitted by the CPWD for the construction of internal roads from Type-IV quarters to Girls Hostel, road from Main road to Type-III quarters, road near Type-V quarters and road near health centre amounting to Rs. 1.986 Cr.</p> <p>(c) Estimates and drawings submitted by the CPWD for the construction of internal roads from new Boys' hostel block- II to main road, road from Type-III staff quarters to STP, road back side academic block amounting to Rs. 1.25 Cr, subject to the availability of the funds.</p> <p>(d) Estimates submitted by the CPWD for providing and installation of remaining furniture in old hostel blocks amounting to Rs. 93.73 lakhs, subject to the availability of the funds.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Annexure-II, Page-10 to 11)</b></p>
6(e)	<p>The Council considered the recommendations made by the Finance Committee in its 27<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 08.03.2019, on the recommendation of the Committee constituted to study the rules prevailing in other Central Universities, University Grant Commission and MHRD regarding fee</p>



structure of the Empaneled Advocates and to submit its recommendations for consideration of the Finance Committee and resolved that the following fee structure and other guidelines, be approved:

**(A) Fee Structure:**

Sr. No.	Item of Work	Fee
1.	Fee for appearance in Supreme Court	Rs. 9000/- per case per day (for effective hearing); Rs. 1000/- per case per day (for non-effective hearing, subject to a maximum of 5 hearing)
2.	Fee for appearance in High Courts	Rs. 4000/- per case per day (for effective hearing); Rs. 1000/- per case per day (for non-effective hearing subject to a maximum of 5 hearings)
3.	Fee for appearance in Tribunals/Commissions	Rs. 3000/- per case per day (for effective hearing); Rs. 1000/- per case per day (for non-effective hearing, subject to a maximum of 5 hearings)
4.	Fee for appearance in District Courts/Subordinate Courts	Rs. 2500/- per case per day (for effective hearing); Rs.1000/- per case per day (for non-effective hearing, subject to a maximum of 5 hearings)
5.	Fee for drafting SLP/Writ Petition/Transfer Petition/Counter Affidavit	Rs. 5000/-
6.	Fee for drafting Additional Affidavit/Miscellaneous Applications, etc.	Rs. 4000/-
7.	Fee for Legal Opinion/Framing or reply of Legal Notice	Rs. 4000/-
8.	Fee for Filing appeals (revision/review) on behalf of CUH	Rs. 6000/- per case
9.	Fee for Consultation/Conference	Rs. 3000/- per conference (subject to maximum of 5 conferences per case; there should be approval of Competent Authority for more than 5 conferences)
10.	Clerkage	10% (no clerkage will be paid on simple adjournment)
11.	Fee for receiving Petitions/Notices/Orders etc. by designated advocate	Rs. 5000/- per month
12.	Miscellaneous Charges	As per actual
13.	Hiring Services of Senior/Special Counsels	As per negotiations/Mutual agreement.

**(B)**

In addition to above, in order to protect the interests of the University in a proper and effective manner, there is a need to make the provision for Senior Standing Counsel for contending/defending/seeking legal advice in the cases of Hon'ble Supreme Court and Standing

Counsel/Additional Standing Counsel in the High Court(s). Once the University have aforementioned provision, the fee for hiring Senior Standing Counsel/ Standing Counsel/Additional Standing Counsel may be fixed as per negotiations/mutual agreement as specified point no. 13.

**(C)**

- i) The Vice Chancellor may engage any advocate outside the approved panel, wherever deemed necessary, as a special case, recorded in writing and approve fee at a higher rate, wherever necessary.
- ii) Senior/ Special Counsel may be engaged on the recommendations of Panel Counsel.


**(D)** The following conditions shall also apply :

- (i) The advocate shall not advise any party in or accept any case against the University in which he has appeared or is likely to be called upon to appear for or advise which is likely to affect or lead to litigation against the University.
- (ii) If the advocate happens to be a partner of a firm of lawyers or solicitors it shall be incumbent upon the firm not to take up any case against the University in any court of law/tribunal/commission or any case arising out of those cases, e.g. appeals and revisions.
- (iii) Effective hearing means a hearing in which either one or more parties involved in the case are heard by the Court/Tribunal/Commission on the facts or law of the case. If the case is mentioned by the other side and adjourned or only when directions are given or only judgement is delivered by the Court/Tribunal/Commission, the same shall not constitute and effective hearing.
- (iv) Where in two or more cases substantially identical questions of law or facts are involved and where the main difference is in the names, addresses of the parties concerned, amount of money involved, etc. and/or where common or identical judgement are delivered, irrespective of the fact that all the cases are heard together or not, the Counsel/Advocate shall be paid the full amount in the main case and Rs. 250 per case per effective hearing for each of the connected cases. SLP/petitions of appeal arising out of one common judgement or order will be together considered as one case, if they are heard together.
- (v) In cases where on the request of the University Grants Commission, Ministry of HRD, the interests of UOI have also to be protected, no extra fees shall be paid.

**REPORTING ITEMS**

7.	The action taken by the Vice-Chancellor on 22.02.2019 in authorizing Advocate Sh. Moti Singh to receive the Petition/Notices/Orders from the District & Sessions Court, Subordinate Courts of District Mahendergarh, Forums, Other Judicial & Quasi-Judicial Bodies on behalf of the University and take appropriate steps in consultation with the University, on a remuneration of Rs. 5000/- per month upto 12.02.2020 or until further orders whichever earlier, was reported, recorded and confirmed.
8.	The action taken by the Vice-Chancellor in fixing the date of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> meeting of the University Court on 26 <sup>th</sup> March, 2019, was reported, recorded and confirmed.

9.	<p>The UGC letter No. F.No.1-1/2013(CU) Vol-XVII dated 06-03-2019 received from the University Grants Commission conveying approval of the Commission for creation of 28 (Twenty Eight) teaching positions in respect of Central University of Haryana as per details below, was reported and recorded.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Annexure-III, Page No- 12 to 13)</b></p>
<b>Under any other Item</b>	
10.	<p>With reference to letter dated 07.03.2019 conveying displeasure of the competent authority to him, Prof. Bir Singh Yadav submitted a note to the Council for "withdrawal of the said letter and seeking permission to report the matter to the concerned competent authority or the Hon'ble Court".</p> <p>The Council considered the matter and resolved that Prof. Bir Singh Yadav must submit his written reply to the said letter to the Registrar, for consideration of the competent authority.</p> <p>The Council further noted that this being an administrative matter, this issue be examined by the University authorities in accordance with the relevant Rules.</p>
The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.	

  
 09/03/19  
**VICE-CHANCELLOR**  
 (Chairperson)

  
**REGISTRAR**  
 (Secretary)

CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF HARYANA

**Minutes of second meeting of the Inquiry Committee**

A Committee consisting of the following members was constituted to examine the matter. ascertain the facts and suggest further course of action related to allegations made by Dr. Manoj Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of English and Foreign Languages, Central University of Haryana against Sh. Ram Dutt, Registrar, Central University of Haryana:

- I. Dr. Sukhbir Singh, Professor (Retired), Department of English, Osmania University, Hyderabad - **(Chairperson)**.
- II. Dr. A. S. Maan, Professor, Department of Physics, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak.
- ~~III. Dr. K. P. S. Mahalwar, Member, Academic Council, Central University of Haryana, Mahendragarh.~~

The second meeting of the inquiry committee held on 29.11.2018 at 11:00 AM at the office of Assistant Registrar (Establishment), Room No. 3, Ground Floor, Academic Block-3, Central University of Haryana, Mahendragarh, Haryana and all members of the committee attended the meeting.

The Committee carefully examined the materials submitted by the University concerning both the parties and also the relevant documents furnished by Dr. Manoj Kumar and Sh. Ram Dutt, Registrar of the University.

The Committee recommends the following:

- 1) The Committee observed that the allegations made by Dr. Manoj Kumar against the Registrar seem to be provoked in retaliation to an investigation set up to check eligibility of Dr. Manoj Kumar to the post of Assistant Professor according to UGC Regulations 2010 vide resolution no. 9 of 34<sup>th</sup> meeting of Executive Council of the Central University of Haryana to which Sh. Ram Dutt, Registrar acted as Presenting Officer.
- 2) The Committee after deliberating over the matter from all possible angles and with the help of documents and other materials in view, came to the conclusion that the complaint filed by Dr. Manoj Kumar against Sh. Ram Dutt, Registrar carried no substance and authenticity.
- 3) All the documents concerning the experience of the Registrar before his selection as Registrar were examined and it was found that he had fifteen years of administrative experience in all and eight years of experience as Deputy Registrar or above. Hence, there was no reason to say that he was ineligible for the post.
- 4) The Committee summoned the Registrar for a personal interface and through the member's interaction with him, it was again confirmed that he indeed was eligible for the post.
- 5) The Committee simultaneously closely examined the materials and documents received from Dr. Manoj Kumar and from the University concerning his academic antecedents and found that Dr. Manoj Kumar has committed several lapses in his personal conduct as well as in his academic behaviour. Some of the observations are as follows:
  - a) Dr. Manoj Kumar has displayed a persistent hostility towards the administration on extraneous grounds thereby engaging the authorities in undue correspondence, taxing

*Sukhbir Singh*

*K.P.S. Mahalwar*

*Maan* Page 6

its energy and time which could have been employed in some other constructive matters.

- b) Dr. Manoj Kumar has been corresponding & complaining without exhausting the institutional remedial measures in gross violation of CCS (Conduct Rules)\*. He has thereby committed a gross violation of the teachers' code of conduct as enunciated in the University ordinances and duly signed by him at the time of his entry in the University in the form of agreement.

Contd.


\*As per CCS (Conduct Rules) regarding representation from Government servants on service matters-advance copies

"Whenever, in any matter connected with his service rights or conditions, a government servant wishes to press a claim or to seek a redress of a grievance, the proper course for him is to address his immediate official superior, or the Head of his Office, or such other authority at the lowest level as he is competent to deal with the matter. An appeal or representation to a higher authority must not be made unless the appropriate lower authority has already rejected the claim or refusal relief or ignored or unduly delayed the disposal of the case. Representations to still higher authorities (e.g., those addressed to the President, the Government or to Hon'ble Ministers) must be submitted through the proper channel (i.e., the Head of Office, etc., concerned). There will be no objection at that stage, but only at that stage to an advance copy of the representation being sent direct."

"The treatment by the higher authorities of advance copies of representations so received should be governed by the following general principles:-

- a) If the advance copy does not clearly show that all means of securing attention or redress from lower authorities have been duly tried and exhausted, the representation should be ignored or rejected summarily on that ground, the reasons being communicated briefly to the Government servants. If the Government servants persists in thus prematurely addressing the higher authorities, suitable disciplinary action should be taken against him."

Sukhbir Singh

  
KPS Mahulwar

- c) Dr. Manoj Kumar was summoned by the Committee for substantiating claims made by him concerning the eligibility of Mr. Ram Dutt. He expressed his inability to appear before the Committee saying that he may have to attend an important event in the Allahabad High Court. But, shockingly on verification, it was found that Dr. Manoj Kumar had not applied for leave on the day Committee met and he was very much present in the department. A documentary evidence to this end has been enclosed. Dr. Manoj Kumar has thus not only told a gross lie but also befooled the Committee evading to justify his claim by an oral exposition to the Committee members. It shows that Dr. Manoj Kumar had no substance to authenticate his claims and to press his point. It amounts to engaging the University in an unnecessary exercise of inquiry into the matter and thereby taxing the resources of the institution. By deliberately not presenting himself before the Committee despite its summoning him to seek clarity on the matter in a complaint filed by him only, tantamounts to making a mockery of the whole system and once again violating the code of conduct of a teacher in the University service\*\*.

Contd.

\*\*As per Clause 14 of Ordinance-V (Conditions of service of appointed teacher of the University) of the Central University of Haryana:


(I) Teachers and their responsibilities:

"Whoever adopts teaching as a profession assumes the obligation to conduct himself/herself in accordance with the ideal of the profession. A teacher is constantly under the scrutiny of his students and the society at large..... The profession further requires that the teachers should be calm, patient and communicative by temperament and amiable in disposition".


The code further requires that a teacher should adhere to a responsible pattern of conduct and demeanour expected of them by the community and manage their private affairs in a manner consistent with the dignity of the profession.

(III). Teachers and Colleagues:

With reference to colleagues, a teacher should treat other members of the profession in the same manner as they themselves wish to be treated and refrain from lodging unsubstantiated allegations against colleagues to higher authorities.











d) Inter-alia its deliberations and examinations, the Committee found that Dr. Manoj Kumar seems to be whole time engaged in litigant activities against the University and its administrative officers such as the Vice-Chancellor, Registrar and other Officers/Officials. By this, he not only spends his time in harming the interest of the University rather than helping it but also impedes the administrative functioning of the University by misdirecting its attention and engaging its endeavours in unproductive/meaningless matters. The Committee wonders whether he is left with any time for his preparation for his classes, research and reading which a true teacher is obliged to do on regular basis. By spending his time in matters unrelated to him and putting his energy into them, Dr. Manoj Kumar not only harms himself but also his students and the University. It seems that Dr. Manoj Kumar is not only a loss to the University as a teacher but also an perpetual obstruction in its administrative functioning and academic growth. It is reprehensible that a teacher in the beginning of his career and working in a growing University should assume a contradictory posture without any basis much to the disadvantage of himself, his colleagues, and the University.

- 6) During the perusal of personal file of Dr. Manoj Kumar and his correspondence with the University authorities, the Committee coincidentally came across certain anomalies in his application relating to his qualifications, procedures, and submission of documents enclosed with his application for the post of Assistant Professor at the Central University of Haryana. The Committee feels that a verification in this regard be initiated regarding the authenticity of his documents.

The Committee is of the view that the University should take an appropriate action of Dr. Manoj Kumar's misconduct as specified above relating to academic as well as administrative matters.

  
(Dr. Sukhbir Singh)  
29/11/2018

  
(Dr. A. S. Maah)  
29/11/18

  
(Dr. K.P.S. Mahalwar)  
29/11/18



हरियाणा केन्द्रीय विश्वविद्यालय  
**CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF HARYANA**  
 (संसद अधिनियम 25 (2009) के तहत स्थापित)  
 (Established vide Act No. 25 (2009) of Parliament)  
 गांव: जांट-पाली, जिला-महेन्द्रगढ़ (हरियाणा) . 123029  
 Village : Jant-Pali, Distt : Mahendergarh (Haryana)-123029

**MINUTES OF THE 26<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE BUILDING COMMITTEE**

The 26<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Building Committee of Central University of Haryana was held on 08-03-2019 at 11:00 AM in the Gurugram office 3113, DLF Phase III, OPP. House No. T-25/8, Gurugram (Hry.).

The following members were present:-

1	Prof. R.C. Kuhad Vice Chancellor Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh	- Chairman
2	Prof. Om Vikas Former Director, IITM Gwalior	- Member
3	Sh. Manoranjan Tripathy, Finance Officer, Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh	- Member
4	Dr. Ranvir Singh, Chair Professor, Swami Dayanand Saraswati Chair Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh	- Member
5	Er. A. K. Modi Chief Engineer (Retd.), Haryana PWD, B&R Technical Advisor, Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh	- Member
6	Er. P. K. Kashyap Chief Engineer (Retd.) (Electrical) Haryana PWD B&R	- Member
7	Prof. Dhirender Singhal Head, Department of Civil Engineering DCRUST, Murthal	- Member
8	Dr. Satish Kumar Dean- School of Life Sciences	- Member
9	Dr. Vikas Garg Head, School of Engineering & Technology	- Member
10	Sh. S.K. Singla Senior Architect (Retd), HVPN	- Member
11	Sh. Arjun Prasad Singh Deputy Director (Retd.) CPWD, Delhi.	- Member
12	Er. Sandeep Singh Executive Engineer, Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh	- Member
13	Sh. Ram Dutt Registrar Central University of Haryana, Mahendergarh.	- Member Secretary


*(Handwritten signature)*


Er. H.S Chahal, Er. K. K. Bhugra, Prof. Ajay Monga had regretted their inability to attend the meeting due some unavoidable reasons. Er. Pawan Kumar, Project Manager, CPWD, Er. Sanjeev Kumar, Executive Engineer, CPWD were also present.


At the outset, the Chairman welcomed the members of the Committee. Thereafter, agenda items were discussed and the following resolutions were passed thereon:

Sr. No.	Agenda Item	Resolution Passed
1	A. To consider the estimates & drawings submitted by CPWD for the construction of Community Centre amounting to Rs. 1.999 Cr. and award of this work to CPWD.	The Committee after in depth deliberations approved the estimates & drawings submitted by CPWD for the construction of Community Centre amounting to Rs. 1.999 Cr. and further resolved that this work may be awarded to CPWD.
	B. To consider the estimates & drawings submitted by CPWD for the construction of Internal Roads from Type-IV to Girls Hostel, Road from Main Road to Type-III, Road near Type-V & Road near Health Center amounting to Rs. 1.986 Cr. and award of this work to CPWD.	The Committee after in depth deliberations approved the estimates & road cross-sections submitted by CPWD for the construction of Internal Roads from Type-IV to Girls Hostel, Road from Main Road to Type-III, Road near Type-V & Road near Health Center amounting to Rs. 1.986 Cr. and further resolved that this work may be awarded to CPWD.
	C. To consider the estimates & drawings submitted by CPWD for the construction of Internal Roads from New Boys Hostel Blocks to Main Road, Road from Type-III Staff Quarter to STP, Road back side Academic Block amounting to Rs. 1.25 Cr. and award of this work to CPWD.	The Committee after in depth deliberations approved the estimates & road cross-sections submitted by CPWD for the construction of Internal Roads from New Boys Hostel Blocks to Main Road, Road from Type-III Staff Quarter to STP, Road back side Academic Block amounting to Rs. 1.25 Cr. and further resolved that this work may be awarded to CPWD.
	D. To consider the estimates submitted by CPWD for providing and installation of remaining furniture in 03 Nos. old Hostel Blocks amounting to Rs. 95.40 lacs and award of this work to CPWD.	The Committee after in depth deliberations approved the estimates submitted by CPWD for providing and installation of remaining furniture in 03 Nos. old Hostel Blocks amounting to Rs. 93.73 lacs and further resolved that this work may be awarded to CPWD.

The Meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

  
(Ram Dutt)  
Registrar  
Central University of Haryana

  
(Prof. R. C. Kuhad)  
Vice-Chancellor  
Central University of Haryana





ज्ञान-विज्ञान विमुक्तये

डॉ. जितेन्द्र कुमार त्रिपाठी  
संयुक्त सचिव

Dr. Jitendra K. Tripathi  
Joint Secretary



सत्यमेव जयते

**Annexure-III**  
**विश्वविद्यालय अनुदान आयोग**  
**University Grants Commission**

(मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)  
(Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India)

बहादुर शाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002  
Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002  
दूरभाष Ph : 011-23239200  
E-mail : jitendratrpathi.ugc@nic.in

**By Speed Post**

**F.No.1-1/2013 (CU) Vol-XVII**

**March, 2019**

05 MAR 2019

The Vice-Chancellor,  
Central University of Haryana,  
Jant-Pali Villages,  
Mahendergarh- 123029,  
Haryana

**Subject: Approval of teaching posts- reg.**

Sir,

This is in reference to your office letter No. CUH/Reg./2018/781 (A) dated 06.12.2018, CUH/2019/A&C/175 dated 12.02.2019 and CUH/2019/A&C dated 14.02.2019 regarding the requirement of additional/new teaching positions and presentation made by the university before the Committee constituted for this purpose on 15.02.2019. The proposal of the university was considered by the Committee. The recommendations of the Committee were placed before the Commission in its 539<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2019. The Commission considered and approved the recommendations of the Committee. Accordingly, the undersigned is directed to convey the approval of UGC for creation of 28 (Twenty Eight) teaching positions in respect of Central University of Haryana as per details below:

Sl. No.	Name of Department(s)	Teaching positions approved by UGC			
		Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Total
	<b>Existing Departments</b>				
1	Department of Law	0	2	3	5
2	Department of Tourism & Hotel Management	0	0	1	1
3	Department of Civil Engineering Under SOET	0	0	1	1
4	Department of Electrical Engineering under SOET	0	0	1	1
5	Department of Physics under SOET	0	0	1	1
6	Department of Computer Science and Engineering under SOET	0	0	1	1

V. Tarreja

	<b>Total (Existing Departments)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>New Departments</b>				
1	Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences	1	2	4	7
2	Department of Physical Education & Sports	1	2	4	7
3	Department of Yoga	0	0	2	2
4	Department of Sanskrit	0	0	2	2
	<b>Total (New Departments)</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18</b>
	<b>Total (Existing &amp; New Departments)</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>28</b>

The expenditure on teaching positions approved for above mentioned departments may be incurred out of the funds provided under salary head (36) of Annual allocation of 2019-20.

The above approval is subject to the fulfillment of the following conditions:-

- (i) University may fill up the teaching positions at the earliest and explore the possibility to allocate the vacant teaching positions in the new areas where the requirement of teaching positions in a particular department is more needed.
- (ii) The University should strictly adhere the reservation policy for SC/ST/OBC/PH/EWS as per Government of India/UGC guidelines while filling up the teaching positions.
- (iii) The UGC Regulations of 2018 relating to Minimum Qualification for appointment of Teachers and other Academic Staff in University and Colleges and measures for the maintenance of Standards in Higher Education and as amended from time to time should be strictly followed.


Yours faithfully,

(Jitendra K. Tripathi)

Copy to :

1. F. No.28-1/2018 (CU)
2. Section Officer (Meeting Cell), UGC New Delhi in r/o item No. 2.06 of the 539<sup>th</sup> Commission Meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2019.

V. Tatreja



(Jitendra K. Tripathi)